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This report has been prepared to document the results of a Traffic Impact Study for Whetstone Housing proposed to be located on the southeast corner of the County Road 738 (CR-738) and State Highway 135 (SH-135) intersection in Gunnison County, Colorado. Whetstone Housing is proposed to include 46 units of single family attached housing and 210 units of affordable housing. Of note, all residential units on this site will be affordable housing. However, ITE does not provide equations for single family affordable housing. Therefore, to provide a conservative analysis, trip generation for single family dwelling units was based on market rate single family attached housing ITE equations. It is expected that Whetstone Housing will be completed in the next several years; therefore, analysis was conducted for the 2025 short-term buildout horizon as well as the 2045 long-term twenty-year planning horizon.

The purpose of this traffic study is to identify project traffic generation characteristics to determine potential project traffic related impacts on the local street system and to develop the necessary mitigation measures required for the identified traffic impacts. The intersection of CR-738 and SH135 was incorporated into this traffic study in accordance with the Gunnison County and State of Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) standards and requirements.

The CR-738 and SH-135 intersection is currently planned to be realigned approximately 125 feet to the southeast along $\mathrm{SH}-135$ and be constructed as a single lane roundabout. This improvement to CR-738 and SH-135 is expected to occur coinciding with completion of the project or soon after. A public street will be constructed as the southwest leg of this roundabout that will provide access to the project. In addition, the proposed right-in/right-out south access along the west side of SH -135 was evaluated.

Regional and primary access to Whetstone Housing will be provided by $\mathrm{SH}-135$. Direct access will be provided by one proposed full movement access and one proposed right-in/right-out access along the west side of SH-135.

The Whetstone Housing development is expected to generate approximately 1,182 weekday daily trips, with 85 of these trips occurring during the morning peak hour and 109 of these trips occurring during the afternoon peak hour.

Based on the analysis presented in this report, Kimley-Horn believes Whetstone Housing will be successfully incorporated into the existing and future roadway network. Analysis of the existing street network, the proposed project development, and expected traffic volumes resulted in the following conclusions and recommendations:

- It is anticipated that the CR-738 and $\mathrm{SH}-135$ intersection will be realigned to the south in the near future and that the west leg of this intersection will provide access to the project from a public street. This intersection is planned as a single lane roundabout coinciding with this realignment and should be completed prior to or coinciding with completion of the project. If the roundabout is slightly delayed, the project access may temporarily operate with stop control for a short period of time. As such, this intersection was also conservatively evaluated as a four legged (instead of two offsetting T-intersections) stop controlled intersection for informational purposes only. With stop-control, the eastbound approach at this intersection is anticipated to operate with long vehicle delays during the morning peak hour with project traffic. With roundabout control, this intersection is anticipated to operate acceptably with LOS B or better throughout the 2045 horizon.
- With completion of the Whetstone Housing project, a right-in/right-out access is proposed along the west side of $\mathrm{SH}-135$ to serve the proposed residential development. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed with a R3-2 No Left Turn Sign posted underneath on the exiting eastbound approach of this access.
- The threshold for requiring an access permit along Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) roadways occurs when project traffic is anticipated to increase the existing access traffic volumes by more than 20 percent. Based on traffic projections, the addition of project traffic on the west leg of the SH-135 and CR-738 is anticipated to increase existing traffic by more than 20 percent. Therefore, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at this intersection as development occurs. Additionally, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at the proposed $\mathrm{SH}-135$ Right-in/Right-out South Access as this is a new access along a CDOT highway.
- Any onsite or offsite improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to standards of Gunnison County, CDOT, and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) - 2009 Edition.


### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn has prepared this report to document the results of a Traffic Impact Study for Whetstone Housing proposed to be located on the southeast corner of the County Road 738 (CR738) and State Highway 135 (SH-135) intersection in Gunnison County, Colorado. A vicinity map illustrating the Whetstone Housing development location is shown in Figure 1. Whetstone Housing is proposed to include 46 units of single family attached housing and 210 units of affordable housing. Of note, all residential units on this site will be affordable housing. However, ITE does not provide equations for single family affordable housing. Therefore, to provide a conservative analysis, trip generation for single family dwelling units was based on market rate single family attached housing ITE equations. A conceptual site plan is attached in Appendix $\mathbf{E}$. It is expected that Whetstone Housing will be completed in the next several years; therefore, analysis was conducted for the 2025 short-term buildout horizon as well as the 2045 long-term twenty-year planning horizon.

The purpose of this traffic study is to identify project traffic generation characteristics to determine potential project traffic related impacts on the local street system and to develop the necessary mitigation measures required for the identified traffic impacts. The intersection of CR-738 and SH135 was incorporated into this traffic study in accordance with the Gunnison County and State of Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) standards and requirements.

The CR-738 and SH-135 intersection is currently planned to be realigned approximately 125 feet to the southeast along $\mathrm{SH}-135$ and be constructed as a single lane roundabout. This improvement to CR-738 and SH-135 is expected to occur coinciding with completion of the project or soon after. A public street will be constructed as the southwest leg of this roundabout that will provide access to the project. In addition, the proposed right-in/right-out south access along the west side of SH -135 was evaluated.

Regional and primary access to Whetstone Housing will be provided by SH-135. Direct access will be provided by one proposed full movement access and one proposed right-in/right-out access along the west side of $\mathrm{SH}-135$.


FIGURE 1
WHETSTONE HOUSING
GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO
VICINITY MAP
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### 3.0 EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Existing Study Area

The existing site is comprised of two single family homes. To the north are single family homes and a golf course. South of the project site are industrial land uses. To the east of the project site is vacant land and single-family homes. West of the project site is mainly vacant land and mountainous terrain.

### 3.2 Existing Roadway Network

SH-135 extends mainly north/south with one through lane in each direction while having a posted speed limit near the site of 55 miles per hour. The Colorado Department of Transportation classifies SH-135 as R-A: Regional Highway.

CR-738 extends mainly in the east/west direction as a two-lane roadway. It has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.

The unsignalized intersection of CR-738 and SH-135 operates with stop-control on the westbound CR-738 approach and assumed stop-control on the eastbound CR-738 approach as a stop sign is not currently installed on this approach. The northbound approach of this intersection consists of a shared left turn/through lane and a right turn lane while the southbound approach provides a left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane. The eastbound and westbound approaches provide one shared lane for all movement. An aerial photo of the existing intersection configuration is below (north is up).


CR-738 \& SH-135 (\#1)

The intersection lane configuration and control for the study area key intersection are shown in Figure 2.

096684007
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### 3.3 Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing turning movement counts were conducted at the study intersection on Wednesday, September 13, 2023 during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours. The counts were conducted during the morning and afternoon peak hours of adjacent street traffic in 15-minute intervals from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM on this count date. The existing intersection traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3 with count sheets provided in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Unspecified Development Traffic Growth

According to information provided on the website for the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), the 20-year traffic growth factor along SH -135 is 1.21 in the vicinity of the site. The 20year growth factor equates to an annual traffic growth rate of 1.0 percent. Traffic information from the CDOT Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) website is included in Appendix B. This annual growth rate was used to estimate near-term 2025 and long-term 2045 traffic volume projections at the key intersection. Background traffic volumes for 2025 and 2045 are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.
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FIGURE 4
WHETSTONE HOUSING
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2025 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES
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## FIGURE 5

WHETSTONE HOUSING
GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO
2045 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES
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### 4.0 PROJECT TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS

### 4.1 Trip Generation

Site-generated traffic estimates are determined through a process known as trip generation. Rates and equations are applied to the proposed land use to estimate traffic generated by the development during a specific time interval. The acknowledged source for trip generation rates is the Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{1}$ published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE has established trip rates in nationwide studies of similar land uses. For this study, Kimley-Horn used the ITE Trip Generation Report fitted curve equations that apply to Single Family Attached Housing (ITE Land Use Code 215) and Affordable Housing (ITE Land Use Code 223) for traffic associated with the development. Of note, all residential units on this site will be affordable housing. However, ITE does not provide equations for single family affordable housing. Therefore, to provide a conservative analysis, trip generation for single family dwelling units was based on market rate single family attached housing ITE equations.

With the Whetstone Housing development being constructed adjacent to an existing Gunnison Valley RTA bus stop, located on the north side of the project site along SH-135, a 10 percent TOD reduction of trips has been applied to the residential uses to account for the development's residents utilizing the free bus.

Taking into account TOD reductions, the Whetstone Housing development is expected to generate approximately 1,182 weekday daily trips, with 85 of these trips occurring during the morning peak hour and 109 of these trips occurring during the afternoon peak hour. Calculations were based on the procedure and information provided in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition - Volume 1: User's Guide and Handbook, 2022. Table 1 summarizes the estimated trip generation for the project. The trip generation worksheets are included in Appendix C.

[^0]Table 1 - Whetstone Housing Traffic Generation

| Land Use and Size | Weekday Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family Attached Housing (ITE 215) 46 Dwelling Units | 302 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 24 |
| Affordable Housing (ITE 223) 210 Dwelling Units | 1,012 | 22 | 54 | 76 | 57 | 40 | 97 |
| Total Site Generated Trips | 1,314 | 28 | 66 | 94 | 71 | 50 | 121 |
| Total Project Trips after 10\% TOD Reduction | 1,182 | 25 | 60 | 85 | 64 | 45 | 109 |

### 4.2 Trip Distribution

Distribution of site traffic on the street system was based on the area street system characteristics, existing traffic patterns, existing and anticipated surrounding employment, school, and attraction information, and the proposed access system for the project. The directional distribution of traffic is a means to quantify the percentage of site-generated traffic that approaches the site from a given direction and departs the site back to the original source. The project trip distribution for the proposed development is illustrated in Figure 6.

### 4.3 Traffic Assignment

Whetstone Housing traffic assignment was obtained by applying the project trip distribution to the estimated traffic generation of the development shown in Table 1. Traffic assignment is shown in
Figure 7.

### 4.4 Total (Background Plus Project) Traffic

Site traffic volumes were added to the background volumes to represent estimated traffic conditions for the short-term 2025 buildout horizon and long-term 2045 twenty-year planning horizon. These total traffic volumes for the study area are illustrated for the 2025 and 2045 horizon years in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.
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## FIGURE 9
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### 5.0 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

Kimley-Horn's analysis of traffic operations in the site vicinity was conducted to determine potential capacity deficiencies in the 2025 and 2045 development horizons at the identified key intersection. The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity is the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{2}$.

### 5.1 Analysis Methodology

Capacity analysis results are listed in terms of Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a particular street or highway during a specific time interval. It ranges from A (very little delay) to F (long delays and congestion). For intersections and roadways in this study area, standard traffic engineering practice recommends overall intersection LOS D and movement/approach LOS E as the minimum desirable thresholds for acceptable operations. Table 2 shows the definition of level of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2 - Level of Service Definitions

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Definitions provided from the Highway Capacity Manual, Sixth Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2016.

Study area intersections were analyzed based on average total delay analysis for unsignalized intersections. Under the unsignalized analysis, the LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is not defined for the intersection as a whole. LOS for roundabout intersections are defined for each approach and for the overall intersection.

[^1]
### 5.2 Key Intersection Operational Analysis

Calculations for the operational level of service at the key intersection for the study area are provided in Appendix D. The existing year analysis is based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in Figure 2. Existing peak hour factors were utilized in the existing, 2025, and 2045 horizon analysis years. Synchro traffic analysis software was used to analyze the unsignalized key intersections for HCM level of service.

## CR-738 \& SH-135 (\#1)

The unsignalized intersection of CR-738 and SH-135 operates with stop-control on the westbound CR-738 approach and assumed stop-control on the eastbound CR-738 approach as a stop sign is not currently installed on this approach. The intersection movements operate acceptably at LOS C or better during both peak hours under existing conditions. It is anticipated that CR-738 will be realigned to the south in the near future and that the west leg of this intersection will provide access to the project from a public street. This intersection is planned as a single lane roundabout coinciding with this realignment and should be completed prior to or coinciding with completion of the project. If the roundabout is slightly delayed, the project access may temporarily operate with stop control for a short period of time. As such, this intersection was also conservatively evaluated as a four legged (instead of two offsetting T-intersections) stop controlled intersection for informational purposes only. With stop-control, the eastbound approach at this intersection is anticipated to operate at LOS F during the morning peak hour with project traffic. With roundabout control, this intersection is anticipated to operate acceptably with LOS B or better throughout the 2045 horizon. This analysis shows the need for roundabout prior to or soon after project construction. Table 3 provides the results of the LOS analysis conducted at this intersection.

Table 3 - CR-738 \& SH-135 (\#1) LOS Results

| Scenario | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS |
|  | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Eastbound Approach | 23.6 | C | 21.7 | C |
| Westbound Approach | 9.6 | A | 20.5 | C |
| Southbound Left |  |  |  | A |
| 2025 Background | 0.0 | A | 0.0 |  |
| Northbound Left | 0.0 | A | 22.2 | A |
| Eastbound Approach | 24.6 | C | 21.2 | C |
| Westbound Approach | 9.6 | A | 8.0 | A |
| Southbound Left |  |  |  |  |


|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> Scenario | Los | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS |
| 2025 Background \# | 8.0 | A | 8.6 | A |
| Northbound Left | 91.0 | F | 26.6 | D |
| Eastbound Approach | 29.6 | D | 26.4 | D |
| Westbound Approach | 9.6 | A | 8.0 | A |
| Southbound Left | 9.1 | A | 7.0 | A |
| 2025 Background Plus Project \#\# |  |  |  |  |
| 2045 Background | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Northbound Left | 0.0 | A | 30.0 | D |
| Eastbound Approach | 59.6 | F | 38.1 | E |
| Westbound Approach | 10.6 | B | 8.2 | A |
| Southbound Left | 2045 Background Plus Project \#\# | 13.1 | B | 8.6 |
| A |  |  |  |  |

\# = Realigned with eastbound and westbound stop-control and single lane approaches \#\# = Roundabout control with yield control and single lane on all four approaches

## Project Accesses

With completion of the Whetstone Housing project, an additional right-in/right-out access is proposed along the west side of $\mathrm{SH}-135$ to serve the residential neighborhood development. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed with a R3-2 No Left Turn Sign posted underneath on the exiting eastbound approach of this access. Table 4 provides the results of the level of service for this project access.

Table 4 - Project Access Level of Service Results

| Intersection | 2025 Total |  |  |  | 2045 Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/ <br> veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/ <br> veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/ <br> veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/ <br> veh) | LOS |
| SH-135 S. Access (\#2) <br> Eastbound Right | 10.2 | B | 12.4 | B | 10.7 | B | 13.8 | B |

As shown in the table above, the project access intersection along SH-135 is anticipated to have all movements operating with acceptable LOS B during the peak hours in both the buildout year 2025 and the 2045 long-term horizons.

### 5.3 CDOT Turn Bay Length Analysis

The threshold for requiring an access permit along Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) roadways occurs when project traffic is anticipated to increase the existing access traffic volumes by more than 20 percent. Based on traffic projections, the addition of project traffic on the west leg of the $\mathrm{SH}-135$ and CR-738 (\#1) is anticipated to increase existing traffic by more than 20 percent. Therefore, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at this intersection as development occurs. Additionally, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at the proposed SH-135 South Access (\#2) as this is a new access.

Auxiliary turn lanes along CDOT controlled highways are to be implemented based on volume threshold requirements set forth in the State Highway Access Code. Further, turn lane lengths should be designed based on the State Highway Access Code. SH-135 is categorized as Regional Highway (R-A) and has a posted speed limit of 55 miles per hour adjacent to the site. According to the State Highway Access Code for category Regional Highway (R-A) roadways, the turn lane warrants are as follows:

- A left turn deceleration lane with taper and storage length is required for any access with a projected peak hour left ingress turning volume greater than 10 vehicles per hour (vph). The taper length will be included within the required deceleration length.
- A right turn deceleration lane and taper length is required for any access with a projected peak hour right ingress turning volume greater than 25 vph . The taper length will be included within the required deceleration length.
- A right turn acceleration lane and taper length is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume greater than 50 vph when the posted speed on the highway is greater than 40 mph . The taper length will be included within the required acceleration length.

Based on the 2025 traffic volume projections, turn lane requirements at the project access intersections along $\mathrm{SH}-135$ are as follows:

## CR-738 \& SH-135 (\#1):

- A northbound left turn lane is warranted based on projected 2025 background plus project traffic volumes being 22 northbound left turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 10 vph . Based on the 55 mile per hour speed limit, the deceleration length is 380 feet, plus
a 220 -foot taper. The storage requirement is 25 feet based on the projected left turning volume. Therefore, to meet CDOT standards the left turn lane should be constructed to 405 feet plus a 220-foot taper. However, this intersection is anticipated to be reconstructed as a roundabout coinciding with or shortly after project construction. Therefore, no interim improvements are recommended at this intersection.
- A southbound right turn lane is not warranted based on projected 2025 background plus project traffic volumes being 23 southbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 25 vph .
- A southbound acceleration lane along SH-135 from the CR-738 eastbound right turn is not warranted based on projected 2025 background plus project traffic volumes being nine (9) eastbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 50 vph .


## SH-135 Right-in/Right-out South Access (\#2):

- A southbound right turn lane is not warranted based on projected 2025 background plus project traffic volumes being 19 southbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being greater than 25 vehicles per hour.
- A southbound acceleration lane along SH-135 from the South Access eastbound right turn is not warranted based on projected 2025 background plus project traffic volumes being 12 eastbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 50 vph .


### 5.4 Improvement Summary

Based on the results of the intersection operational analysis and turn lane evaluation, the key intersection recommended improvements and control are shown in Figure 10.


### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis presented in this report, Kimley-Horn believes Whetstone Housing will be successfully incorporated into the existing and future roadway network. Analysis of the existing street network, the proposed project development, and expected traffic volumes resulted in the following conclusions and recommendations:

- It is anticipated that the CR-738 and SH-135 intersection will be realigned to the south in the near future and that the west leg of this intersection will provide access to the project from a public street. This intersection is planned as a single lane roundabout coinciding with this realignment and should be completed prior to or coinciding with completion of the project. If the roundabout is slightly delayed, the project access may temporarily operate with stop control for a short period of time. As such, this intersection was also conservatively evaluated as a four legged (instead of two offsetting T-intersections) stop controlled intersection for informational purposes only. With stop-control, the eastbound approach at this intersection is anticipated to operate with long vehicle delays during the morning peak hour with project traffic. With roundabout control, this intersection is anticipated to operate acceptably with LOS B or better throughout the 2045 horizon.
- With completion of the Whetstone Housing project, a right-in/right-out access is proposed along the west side of $\mathrm{SH}-135$ to serve the proposed residential development. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed with a R3-2 No Left Turn Sign posted underneath on the exiting eastbound approach of this access.
- The threshold for requiring an access permit along Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) roadways occurs when project traffic is anticipated to increase the existing access traffic volumes by more than 20 percent. Based on traffic projections, the addition of project traffic on the west leg of the SH-135 and CR-738 is anticipated to increase existing traffic by more than 20 percent. Therefore, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at this intersection as development occurs. Additionally, an access permit is anticipated to be needed at the proposed SH-135 Right-in/Right-out South Access as this is a new access along a CDOT highway.
- Any onsite or offsite improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to standards of Gunnison County, CDOT, and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) - 2009 Edition.


## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## Intersection Count Sheets

Ridgeview Data

Gunnison County, CO Whetstone Housing<br>AM Peak<br>SH 135 and CR 738

Groups Printed- Automobiles - Bicycle and Pedestrian

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } 738 \\ \text { Eastbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | CR 738 Westbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 Northbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toaa | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toaa | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 53 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 89 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 61 | 13 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 133 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 152 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 110 | 13 | 0 | 123 | 10 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 192 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 292 | 35 | 0 | 327 | 32 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 566 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 139 | 14 | 0 | 153 | 18 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 152 | 15 | 0 | 167 | 26 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 0 | 117 | 26 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 97 | 21 | 0 | 118 | 18 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 488 | 67 | 0 | 555 | 88 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 349 |
| 1036 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 780 | 102 | 0 | 882 | 120 | 401 | 0 | 0 | 521 | 1602 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25.1 | 0 | 74.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 88.4 | 11.6 | 0 |  | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 9.3 | 0 | 12.4 | 0 | 48.7 | 6.4 | 0 | 55.1 | 7.5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 32.5 |  |
| Automobiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 780 | 101 | 0 | 881 | 120 | 401 | 0 | 0 | 521 | 1600 |
| \% Automobiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 99.3 | 0 | 99.5 | 0 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 99.9 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99.9 |
| Biccie and Pesestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% 8 bo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |

Gunnison County, CO
Whetstone Housing
AM Peak
SH 135 and CR 738

File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 AM
Site Code : IPO 650
Start Date: 9/13/2023
Page No : 2


Gunnison County, CO
Whetstone Housing
AM Peak
SH 135 and CR 738

File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 AM
Site Code : IPO 650
Start Date: 9/13/2023
Page No : 3

|  | CR 738 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | CR 738 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 139 | 14 | 0 | 153 | 18 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 263 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 152 | 15 | 0 | 167 | 26 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 325 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 0 | 117 | 26 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 233 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 97 | 21 | 0 | 118 | 18 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 215 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 488 | 67 | 0 | 555 | 88 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 1036 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 18.2 | 0 | 81.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 0 |  | 25.2 | 74.8 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 600 | . 000 | . 692 | . 000 | . 717 | . 000 | . 803 | . 798 | . 000 | . 831 | . 846 | . 759 | . 000 | . 000 | . 779 | . 797 |



Ridgeview Data
Collection

Gunnison County, CO
Whetstone Housing
AM Peak
SH 135 and CR 738

File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 AM<br>Site Code : IPO 650<br>Start Date : 9/13/2023<br>Page No : 4

Image 1

The number of pedestrians shown on this report is representative of the crossing on the approaching leg, i.e. pedestrians crossing the north side of the intersection are counted as pedestrians in the southbound crosswalk, as that is the approaching leg that they are crossing (see figure below). Diagonal crossings are counted on the two legs that will get the pedestrian to the same end point. Diagonals can be counted separately if discussed prior to count.


Ridgeview Data Collection

Gunnison County, CO
Whetstone Housing
PM Peak
SH 135 and CR 738
File Name: SH 135 and CR 738 PM
Site Code : IPO 650
Start Date : 9/13/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Automobiles - Bicycle and Pedestrian

|  | CR 738 Eastbound |  |  |  |  | CR 738 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 17 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 245 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 64 | 14 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 230 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 79 | 16 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 238 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 71 | 21 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 217 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 243 | 24 | 0 | 267 | 68 | 462 | 1 | 0 | 531 | 930 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 65 | 18 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 222 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 29 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 201 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 53 | 7 | 0 | 60 | 15 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 175 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 14 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 149 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 214 | 26 | 0 | 240 | 76 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 747 |


| Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 457 | 50 | 0 | 507 | 144 | 781 | 1 | 0 | 926 | 1677 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 45.7 | 0 | 54.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 0 |  | 15.6 | 84.3 | 0.1 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0 | 7.9 | 0 | 14.5 | 0 | 27.3 | 3 | 0 | 30.2 | 8.6 | 46.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 55.2 |  |
| Automobiles | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 457 | 50 | 0 | 507 | 143 | 781 | 1 | 0 | 925 | 1676 |
| \% Automobiles | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 99.3 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Bicyle and Pedestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Bicycle and Pedestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Gunnison County, CO
File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 PM
Whetstone Housing
Site Code : IPO 650
Start Date : 9/13/2023
Page No : 2


Gunnison County, CO
File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 PM
Whetstone Housing
PM Peak
Site Code : IPO 650
Start Date : 9/13/2023
SH 135 and CR 738

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CR } 738 \\ & \text { Eastbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | CR 738 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | $\text { SH } 135$ <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SH 135 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 17 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 245 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 64 | 14 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 230 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 79 | 16 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 238 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 71 | 21 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 217 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 243 | 24 | 0 | 267 | 68 | 462 | 1 | 0 | 531 | 930 |
| \% App. Total | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 54.2 | 0 | 45.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 91 | 9 | 0 |  | 12.8 | 87 | 0.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 772 | . 000 | . 833 | . 000 | . 840 | . 000 | . 832 | . 750 | . 000 | . 845 | . 810 | . 856 | . 250 | . 000 | . 873 | . 949 |



Ridgeview Data Collection

Gunnison County, CO
Whetstone Housing
PM Peak
SH 135 and CR 738

File Name : SH 135 and CR 738 PM<br>Site Code : IPO 650<br>Start Date : 9/13/2023<br>Page No : 4

## Image 1

The number of pedestrians shown on this report is representative of the crossing on the approaching leg, i.e. pedestrians crossing the north side of the intersection are counted as pedestrians in the southbound crosswalk, as that is the approaching leg that they are crossing (see figure below). Diagonal crossings are counted on the two legs that will get the pedestrian to the same end point. Diagonals can be counted separately if discussed prior to count.


## APPENDIX B

Future Traffic Projections

OOTOTISTraffic Projections: Whetstone Housing



## APPENDIX C

## Trip Generation Worksheets

## Kimley»)Horn

Project Whetstone Housing
Subject Trip Generation for Single-Family Attached Housing
Designed by TES
Checked by $\qquad$

| Date | October 27, 2023 | Job No. | 096684007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | Sheet No. | of |

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition, Fitted Curve Equations
Land Use Code - Single-Family Attached Housing (215)
Independent Variable - Dwelling Units (X)

$$
X=46
$$

T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (200 Series Page 239)
$(T)=0.52(X)-5.70$
$(T)=0.52$ *

- 5.70

Directional Distribution: 31\% ent. 69\% exit.
$\mathrm{T}=18 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
6 entering 12 exiting
$6+12=18$
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (200 Series Page 240)
$(T)=0.60(X)-3.93$
$(T)=0.60$ *
$-3.93$

Directional Distribution: 59\% ent. 41\% exit.
$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{T}= & 24 & \text { Average Vehicle Trip Ends } \\ 14 & \text { entering } & 10 \\ \text { exiting }\end{array}$
$14+10=24$

## Weekday (200 Series Page 238)

$(T)=7.62(X)-50.48$
$(\mathrm{T})=7.62$ * (46) $\quad-50.48$
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting $\mathrm{T}=302 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends

151 entering 151 exiting
$151+151=302$

## Kimley»)Horn

Project Whetstone Housing
Subject Trip Generation for Affordable Housing (Income Limits)
Designed by TES
Checked by $\qquad$ Date November 01, 2023
Job No. 096684007
Sheet No.

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - Affordable Housing (Income Limits) (ITE 223)
Independent Variable - Dwelling Units (X)

$$
X=210
$$

T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (Page 342)

Average Weekday
$\mathrm{T}=0.36$ (X)
$T=0.36$ * 210
Directional Distribution: 29\% ent. 71\% exit.
$\mathrm{T}=76 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
22 entering 54 exiting
$22+54\left(^{*}\right)=76$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (Page 343)

Average Weekday
$(T)=0.46(X)$
$\mathrm{T}=0.46$ * 210

Directional Distribution: $59 \%$ ent. $41 \%$ exit. $\mathrm{T}=97 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 57 entering 40 exiting
$57+40=97$

## Weekday (Page 341)

Average Weekday
$(\mathrm{T})=4.81(\mathrm{X})$
$\mathrm{T}=4.81^{*} \quad 210$

Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ ent. 50\% exit. T = $1012 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 506 entering 506 exiting

$$
506+506=1012
$$

## APPENDIX D

Intersection Analysis Worksheets






Synchro 11 Report

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 498 | 68 | 90 | 266 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 498 | 68 | 90 | 266 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 325 | 350 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 623 | 85 | 113 | 333 | 0 |









|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.1 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  | WB | SB |
| Approach | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 60 | 168 | 718 | 465 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 61 | 172 | 732 | 474 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 494 | 695 | 42 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 22 | 201 | 391 | 825 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 6 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 8.5 | 11.4 | 6.1 |  |
| Approach Delay, slveh | 5 | A | B |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 474 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 61 | 172 | 732 | 1322 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 834 | 679 | 1167 | 0.981 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.984 | 0.977 | 465 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 60 | 168 | 1297 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 820 | 663 | 1148 | 0.359 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.073 | 0.253 | 6.1 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.1 | 8.5 | 11.4 | B |
| LOS | A | 1 | 5 | 2 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  | WB | SB |
| Approach | EB | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 140 | 309 | 613 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 39 | 143 | 315 | 624 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 | 322 | 107 | 101 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 678 | 99 | 611 | 364 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 8 |
| Approach Delay, slveh | 6.0 | A | A | A |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 315 | 124 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 40 | 143 | 1237 | 0.982 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 691 | 994 | 0.980 | 613 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.975 | 140 | 309 | 1222 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 39 | 973 | 1213 | 0.501 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 674 | 0.144 | 0.255 | 8 |
| V/C Ratio | 5.0 | 5.3 | A |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.058 | A | A | 3 |
| LOS | 1 | 1 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ Int Delay, s/veh 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * |  |  | ${ }_{*} \uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 607 | 83 | 110 | 325 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 607 | 83 | 110 | 325 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 325 | 350 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 759 | 104 | 138 | 406 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 60 |  | 206 |  | 874 |  | 565 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 61 |  | 210 |  | 891 |  | 576 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 604 |  | 835 |  | 191 |  | 50 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 22 |  | 247 |  | 474 |  | 995 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 5.8 |  | 11.4 |  | 17.8 |  | 7.2 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | A |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 61 |  | 210 |  | 891 |  | 576 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 745 |  | 589 |  | 1136 |  | 1311 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.984 |  | 0.981 |  | 0.981 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 60 |  | 206 |  | 874 |  | 565 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 733 |  | 578 |  | 1114 |  | 1285 |  |
| V/C Ratio | 0.082 |  | 0.357 |  | 0.785 |  | 0.439 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.8 |  | 11.4 |  | 17.8 |  | 7.2 |  |
| LOS | A |  | B |  | C |  | A |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 2 |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 39 |  | 172 |  | 373 |  | 738 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 40 |  | 176 |  | 380 |  | 753 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 823 |  | 380 |  | 124 |  | 118 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 47 |  | 124 |  | 739 |  | 438 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.0 |  | 5.8 |  | 5.9 |  | 10.8 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  | B |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 40 |  | 176 |  | 380 |  | 753 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 596 |  | 937 |  | 1216 |  | 1223 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.975 |  | 0.977 |  | 0.981 |  | 0.981 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 39 |  | 172 |  | 373 |  | 738 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 581 |  | 915 |  | 1192 |  | 1200 |  |
| V/C Ratio | 0.067 |  | 0.188 |  | 0.313 |  | 0.616 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 7.0 |  | 5.8 |  | 5.9 |  | 10.8 |  |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | B |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 12 | 0 | 575 | 299 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 12 | 0 | 575 | 299 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 13 | 0 | 625 | 325 | 9 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 330 | - | 0 | - | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 330 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.22 | - | - | - | - |


| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Follow-up Hdwy | -3.318 | - | - | - | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 712 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Platoon blocked, \% - . .

| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 712 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Stage 1
Stage 2

| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 10.2 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -712 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.018 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -10.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 294 | 550 | 19 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 294 | 550 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 10 | 0 | 320 | 598 | 21 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 609 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.22 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | -3.318 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 495 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 495 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.4 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | $B$ |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | 495 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | 0.02 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | 12.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | $B$ | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 个 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 12 | 0 | 699 | 364 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 12 | 0 | 699 | 364 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 13 | 0 | 760 | 396 | 9 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 401 | - | 0 | - | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 401 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.22 | - | - | - | - |


| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Follow-up Hdwy | -3.318 | - | - | - | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 649 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |

Platoon blocked, \% 0 - - .

| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 649 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |

        Stage 1
        Stage 2
    | Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 10.7 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -649 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.02 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | 10.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 354 | 670 | 19 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 354 | 670 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 10 | 0 | 385 | 728 | 21 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 739 | - | 0 | - | 0 |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 739 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.22 | - | - | - | - |


| Critical Hdwy $\operatorname{Stg} 1$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Hdwy $\operatorname{Stg} 2$ | - | - | - | - | - |


| Follow-up Hdwy | -3.318 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 417 | 0 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |


| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 417 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 13.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -417 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.023 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -13.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |  |

## APPENDIX E

## Conceptual Site Plan

## CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN NOTES

 STRUCTURESSINGLE FAMILY - (3 BED)2 DUPLEX - (3 BED)
3 TRIPLEX - TWO STORIES -TWO TOWNHOUSES - (3 BED) 4 APARMENTS APARTMENTS - TWO STORIES - EIGHT WALKUP FLATS (2 BED)

APARTMENTS - TWO STORIES - FOURTEEN WALKUP LATS - (2 BED
ALLEY FLAT - TWO STORIES - (1 BED) - OVER ENCLOS GARAGE
LIVE/WORK TOWNHOUSE - TWO STORIES - THREE UNITS (3 BED) - w/ CLOSED GARAGE
TRIPLEX - TWO STORIES - THREE TOWNHOUSES ( BED)

APARTMENTS - TWO STORIES - TWENTY UNITS (1 BED, 2 BED)
APARTMENTS - THREE STORIES - THIRTY-THREE UNITS - (1 BED, 2 BED)
APARTMENTS - TWO STORIES - FOURTEEN UNITS 1 BED, 2 BED)
APARTMENTS - TWO/THREE STORIES - THIRTY SIX UNITS - ( 1 BED, 2 BED, 3 BED)

AMENITIES
13 central greenway/PARK
14 BOUNDARY TRAIL
15 BOUNDARY LINK
TRANSIT / MULTI-MODAL
16 bus stop
17 SCHOOL BUS PICKUP/DROP OFF
OTHER
18 gers parcel
19 CONNECTION TO COLVIN PROPERTY
20 BOUNDARY TRAIL EASEMENT
21 STORM WATER DETENTION
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